UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 7,654,223 B2 Page 1 of 2

APPLICATION NO.: 10/583688

DATED: February 2, 2010

INVENTOR(S): Kim et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Face of the Patent, See Item (56) References Cited, U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS, page 2, Column 2, second to the last U.S. document, "2002/1007398" should read: --2002/10073982--

Column 1, Line 8, "In more particularly" should read -- More particularly --

Column 1, Line 10, "obtaining high" should read -- obtaining a high --

Column 1, Line 11, "and excellent" should read -- and an excellent --

<u>Column 1</u>, Lines 12-13, "preheating coating powder before coating process" should read -- preheating the coating powder before the coating process --

Column 1, Line 16, "material to a substrate" should read -- material on a substrate --

Column 2, Line 3, "patents discloses a" should read -- patents disclose a --

Column 2, Line 26, "of its high ductility" should read -- of their higher ductility --

Column 2, Line 53, "gas more higher" should read -- gas still higher --

Column 2, Lines 53-54, "resulting in increase" should read -- resulting in an increase --

Column 2, Line 54, "a lifetime" should read -- the lifetime --

Column 3, Line 36, "invention." should read -- invention; --

Column 3, Line 38, "FIG. 1." should read -- FIG. 1; --

Column 3, Line 41, "Table 5." should read -- Table 5; --

Column 3, Line 44, "Table 5." should read -- Table 5; --

Signed and Sealed this

Eighth Day of June, 2010

David J. Kappos Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Column 3, Line 47, "Table 5." should read -- Table 5; and

<u>Column 3</u>, Line 52, "DISCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS" should read -- DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION --

Column 7, Line 24, "is very higher" should read -- is significantly higher --

<u>Column 7</u>, Line 24, "the comparative example 1 is" should read -- in comparative example 1 it was --

Column 8, Line 34, "is not denser than" should read -- is less dense than --

Column 8, Line 37, "invention can have the" should read -- invention provides --

<u>Column 8</u>, Lines 39-40, "does not almost occur" should read -- does not substantially occur --

<u>Column 8</u>, Line 51, "the test was performed under the conditions of Table" should read -- a test was performed under the conditions set forth in Table --

<u>Column 8</u>, Line 52, "Other condition to perform cold spray coating is" should read -- Other cold spray coating conditions were the --

Column 8, Line 53, "same as that of Table 3" should read -- same as those of Table 3 --

Column 10, Line 10, DELETE the section heading "INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY"

Column 10, Line 12, "invention can provide" should read -- invention provides --

Column 10, Line 13, "that can solve" should read -- that solves --

<u>Column 10</u>, Line 15, "particles are transformed" should read -- particles in the conventional method are transformed --

<u>Column 10</u>, Lines 17-18, "invention can effectively and economically form" should read -- invention effectively and economically forms --

<u>Column 10</u>, Line 19, "problems of the poor porosity" should read -- problems of poor porosity --